



## Staff Report

To: BOZAR  
From: Jessie Earley, Town Planner III  
Meeting Date: DRC, April 21, 2025  
RE: 2 Teocalli Avenue (Felton), Preliminary Review

**PROJECT TITLE:** Felton (2 Teocalli Avenue)

**SUMMARY:** Consideration of the application of **Edward L. Felton, Jr Trust Dated 12/28/1999** to site an addition to the primary building and to construct a cold accessory building to be located at 2 Teocalli Avenue, Lots 15 and 16, Block 7 in the R1 zone.

**-Architectural approval is required.**

**-A special development permit for excessive slope review per Sec. 16-10-20 is required.**

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION:** Block 7, Lots 15 and 16

**ADDRESS:** 2 Teocalli Avenue

**ZONE DISTRICT:** R1

**OWNER:** Edward L. Felton, Jr. Trust Dated 12/28/1999

**APPLICANT:** Andrew Hadley and Jonathan Augello

**DRC MEMBERS:** Anderson and Schmidt (4/21/2025)

**STAFF MEMBER:** Jessie Earley, Planner III

### **ATTACHMENTS:**

1. Plans
2. GIS Map
3. Photos
4. Section 16-10-20
5. Section 16-4-10 through 16-4-70 (R1 zone)

These packet materials are available at this [link](#). Staff can provide paper copies of the packet upon request.

### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

1. Construct additions to the existing single-family residence.
2. Construct a cold accessory building.



**PROJECT LOCATION:**



**PUBLIC NOTICE**

This item was properly noticed per Section 16-22-110 (c). The affidavit of posting is on file in the Community Development Department.

- I. Background/Overview:** Andrew Hadley and Jonathan Augello submitted an application on behalf of Edward L. Felton Jr., Trust Dated 12/28/1999 for additions to the existing single family residence and a new cold accessory building.



Driveway Perspective  
1/2" = 1'-0"

**II. Context:** Refer to guidelines 4.25-4.26. The neighborhood contains a mix of small 1 ½ story and two-story homes. The roof forms are relatively simple. Consider whether the forms and style of the additions will relate with the existing forms found within context and style of the neighborhood or if they will appear excessively dissimilar.

The Board should determine whether the overall scale and forms of the residence comply with the intents 4.25 and 4.26 (excessively similar or dissimilar) in relation to the neighborhood context.


GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.25 Excessive similarity	The forms differentiate from the newer residences located in the 0 block of Teocalli Avenue per context GL 4.25.	
4.26 Excessive dissimilarity	<p>Discussion is encouraged to determine if the additions, as proposed, are contemporary interpretations and variety or if the proposal is excessively dissimilar.</p> <p>GL 4.46 c suggests that dormer types should not be mixed on a module when highly visible from the street. This appears to conflict with this GL, as it is apparent on the north elevation. Discussion is encouraged to determine if</p>	



	<p>this adds to the overall complexity of the building, as seen from the street.</p> <p>The chimney appears an odd feature, as proposed on the west. Consult GL 4.71.</p>	
--	---	--







1 North - Streetscape Proposed  
1/8" = 1'-0"

#### 4/21/2025 DRC Streetscape

### III. Land Use Code Review: West End Business/Residential Zone District (Sec. 16-5-410 – 16-5-460)

Dimensional Limitations	Required by Chapter 16	Proposed	Compliant
Minimum Lot Width:	50'	50'	Yes
Maximum Lot Area:	9375 sf	6,250 sf	Yes
Minimum Lot Area:	5000 sf	6,250 sf	Yes
# Dwellings:		1	Yes
<b>Minimum Setbacks:</b>			
Principal: Front (North):	20'	25'7"	Yes
Principal: Side Yard (West):	7'6"-11'6"	5'7" (existing) 11'9" (proposed addition)	Yes



Principal: Side Yard (East):	7'6"-11'6"	10'8" (existing) 7'6" (proposed additions)	Yes
Principal: Rear Yard (South)	10' principal 5' accessory	17'9" (existing)	Yes
Accessory: Rear Yard (South)	10' principal	5' (proposed)	Yes
Accessory: Side Yard (West)	7'6"-11'6"	8' (proposed)	Yes
Accessory: Side Yard (East)	7'6"-11'6"	16' (proposed)	Yes
Between buildings	10'	9'9"	No
Max FAR – Principal	0.3-0.4	0.26 (1651/6250 sf) existing 0.40 (2497.5/6250) proposed	Confirming average grade lines to finalize
Max FAR – All Buildings:	0.5	0.463 (2890.7/6250 sf)	Yes
Height:	30' /20' / 24'	23'2" (primary building, existing) 20'3" (new accessory building)	Yes *confirming natural grade lines (primary)  No (accessory building)
Roof Pitch	Minimum 4:12	10:12 (principal, primary roofs) 9:12 (east dormer roof) 4:12 (secondary roofs, porches and west dormer)  10:12 (accessory building)	Yes
Width	35'	33'7" (primary building) 13' (accessory building)	Yes
Snow Storage	>33%	19.2%	No, areas in the ROW or adjacent lot cannot be included as snow storage for the site
Open Space	-	88.9%	Yes
Parking	2 spaces	2 spaces (1 interior/1 exterior)	No, front space must be moved fully onto private property and the second space on the north must be removed from the ROW

**b. Special Development Permit – Excessive Slope Review (16-10-20):** The excessive slope review line does cross through this lot from the southwest corner down to the middle of the lot on the south. The applicants have not provided a geotechnical report, slope stability analysis, avalanche study and narrative for the development proposed for this parcel but must for this project to be published and reviewed formally.

#### IV. Design GL Analysis



**R-1 Zone:** The purpose for which this District is created is the provision of areas for low-density residential development along with customary accessory uses. Recreational and institutional uses customarily found in proximity to such residential uses are included as conditional uses. It is intended that no more than two (2) units, designed or used for dwelling by a family, shall be allowed on a site.

Today this area is a mix of occasional historic structures and newer buildings. The district is primarily composed of more recent buildings. During the 1980's and early 1990's much of the new residential construction was in scale with buildings seen traditionally in the area. The scale of residences increased as the Kapushion and Verzuh subdivisions were annexed into Town in the mid-1990's and 2000's. Many of the historic structures have additions and other alterations. Coal Creek flows through this area, breaking the pattern of lots between Third and Fourth Streets. This provides a distinct identity to the development in this area.

**The Town's design goals for this district are:**

- To encourage appropriate infill and changes to existing structures that complement the character of the historic residential core areas.
- To maintain the size and scale of the R1 neighborhoods so they complement, rather than overwhelm or detract from, historic structures.
- To maintain and encourage pedestrian size, scale, uses, and orientation.  
To allow for greater flexibility in design compared with what is allowed in historic areas.

**a. Site planning:** Refer to GL: 2.16-2.40, 5.90-5.94.

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
Topography	Topography has been provided. The lot is 8885' on the northeast corner stepping up in grade drastically to the west on the lot adjacent. Then the lot steps up from 8885' to 8901' on the southwest corner of the lot. The average grade for the purpose of measuring FAR will be 8887' for the primary building and 8889' for the accessory building, but clarification and confirmation from the applicant is needed.	
2.8 Drainage	Drainage information has been provided on the site plan. It shows drainage to the north and northeast corner of the lot. The drainage will need to be finalized with the Building Official and Public Works department to ensure that it does not negatively impact the neighbors to the east.	
Easements	None shown.	NA
2.16 Substantial landscaping	The plan is fairly minimal. Provision of a final landscape plan will be required for review and approval prior after permitting prior to a CO, if changes are proposed.  There is an existing retaining wall on the west and south. This is to remain, portions of this wall on the west are on the neighboring property.	
2.18 Mature trees	Confirmation is needed from the applicant to determine if any trees would	



	need to be removed from the site. There is a cluster of 3 aspen trees on the west side of the lot. There is one tree on the southeast corner of the lot. Then, there are two trees in the alley to the south.	
2.19 New trees	There are no new trees noted on the site plan. This appears to conflict with GL 2.19. Two street trees are encouraged, potentially on the northwest corner.	
2.16 a./ 2.20 Native plantings	Disturbed areas have not been called out for what will be planted but must be. Native plantings are encouraged by the GL.	Support
2.16 e Pervious materials 2.28 e & f Parking substrate	<p>Parking and driveway on the south is noted as gravel (317.5 sf).</p> <p>There is another shared drive on the northeast corner. Parking for the lot can only be shown on this lot, not the adjacent lot or ROW. The parking space will need to shift slightly to the south and the second space must be removed. This area is shown as gravel (345 sf).</p> <p>There is a small pervious paver patio noted on the northwest side of the home (176.64 sf) and a small area on the eas (17.1 sf).</p> <p>Staff finds support in relation to other applications.</p>	
(2.37-2.40)/ 16-17-40 Exterior Lighting	Lighting has been identified on the exterior for both buildings and appears to comply with GL and code for night sky, as recessed cans.	
Solar	NA	NA
Utilities	<p>Utility lines have been shown for wet and dry utilities. The water line is shown through the neighboring property to the east. Public Works may require that an easement is provided for this existing condition.</p> <p>Adjacent rights of way have been included to-scale.</p>	
2.7 Snow Storage	Snow storage is provided onsite but does not meet the 33% requirement of the areas to be plowed and is not adjacent to these areas.	







proposed on the east elevation. Determination of whether the height of the 23'2" primary ridge and 33'7" overall width is effective in minimizing the mass and scale of the building.

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.33-4.34	<p>Consideration of whether the forms achieve relationships with historic buildings are in GL 4.33 and 4.34. 3D drawings are helpful in determining this.</p> <p>This building is very small in comparison to the neighboring buildings on the street. Even with the additions, the building remains small with the 23'2" height.</p> <p>The dormers as proposed may add complexity to this simple structure.</p> <p>The 3D perspectives are very helpful to understand how the adjacent buildings, the proposed building's setback and the hillside all will affect how the home will affect how you see this home.</p>	
4.34 Discernable primary module	<p>The front module is lower than the existing gable module, which would be considered the primary module. This appears as though it may conflict with this GL as it asks for this to be the closest module to the street.</p>	



1 Garage Perspective  
12" = 1'-0"

**4/21 DRC: Southeast perspective**



① Driveway Perspective  
1/2" = 1'-0"

#### 4/21 DRC: Northeast perspective

##### c. Design and Style: Refer to GL 4.35-4.40

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.35 Interpretation of historic styles	Discussion of whether overall building forms appear as a product of their own time while relating with historic forms seen in town is encouraged. Staff finds support.	
4.36-4.37 Contemporary interpretations	Discussion is encouraged as to whether the design of the home relates with the overall styles within the neighborhood or appears incongruent. Staff finds support.	
4.38 Mixing styles	The existing style of the building is evident of the 1970's construction. The additions to the building will take the building away from that time period to meet the current GL relating to the current POS (1880-1952). This doesn't appear to inappropriately mix styles.	



4.39 Additions	<p>This addition will add roughly 800 sf to the existing 1651 sf building. The roof pitches match with the existing pitch.</p> <p>Discussion is encouraged to determine if the addition complements the existing scale and form of the addition. The dormers as proposed may add complexity to this simple structure.</p> <p>Architectural details and materials are consistent throughout the home.</p>	
----------------	--	--

d. **Roof forms:** Refer to guidelines \*4.41-4.45.

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.41	The gabled roof forms are symmetrical and appear consistent with the intents of 4.41.	
4.42 Shed roofs 4.43 Mixing roof styles	The use of the shed roof on the east and north seem consistent with this GL. General support.	
4.44 Ridge lines	The 40'11" ridge (including overhangs) perpendicular to the street/alley is existing. The new proposed ridge (21'11") meets the intent of GL 4.44 a.	
4.45 Roof pitches	Roof forms of the primary modules employs 10:12 pitches, as encouraged in 4.45. Consider whether the lower pitch of the porch modules and shed appendages (4:12) are effective in providing acceptable variations or if they add complexity. Consult GL 4.45 and 4.35 (contemporary interpretation). Staff finds support.	

e. **Dormers:** Refer to guidelines \*4.46-4.47

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.46 Dormers in new construction	<p><b>West shed:</b> There is a dormer proposed on the west elevation of the existing building module. It is a shed dormer. Shed dormers are not the dominant form in this neighborhood, as seen in the streetscape. Staff finds support.</p> <p><b>East gable:</b> There is a gable dormer proposed on the east elevation of the existing building module. Support</p> <p>GL 4.46 c suggests that dormer types should not be mixed on a module when highly visible from the street. This appears to conflict with this GL, as it is apparent on the north elevation.</p>	





#### 4.47 Dormers

**West shed:** The dormer steps down 8" from the ridge of the module, but appears large on this elevation.

**East gable:** The dormer steps down 8" from the ridge of the module and achieves subordination.

a. **West shed:** The dormer, as proposed occupies 32.1% of the roof, which is in conflict with this GL that requires 30%.

**East gable:** The dormer, as proposed occupies 13.6% of the roof, which is supported.

b. **West shed:** The dormer is lower than the ridge. There is a section of roof beneath, support.


**East gable:** The dormer is lower than the ridge. There is a section of roof beneath, support.

c. NA.



d. **West shed:** The dormer as proposed is in the middle 70% of the roof form.

**East gable:** NA

e. **West shed:** The vertical wall of the dormer is 4'11". This must be reduced to 4' to meet the intents of the GL.



**3** North - Proposed  
1/4" = 1'-0"



**f. Porches/Balconies:** Refer to guidelines \*4.49-4.52

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.49 Covered porches	The entry porch on the North is a hipped covered area and East is a shed, which is a common entry porch detail. Support	
4.50 Mix of porch styles	The entry porch extends 7'x18' (north) and 5'x10' (east) and complies with the intents of GL 4.50 b encouraging a depth of four feet.	
4.51 Side and rear porches	The porch proposed on the southeast appears to comply with this GL.	
4.52 Second and third story decks	NA	NA


**g. Windows:** Refer to Guidelines 4.53-4.63.




GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
<p>4.53/5.43 Window to wall ratio</p>	<p>Window to wall ratios along the front elevation (north) proposes 108.6 sf of glazing/576.16 sf wall space, which is 18.8% window to wall.</p> <p>The building exists with two large picture windows and door glazing on the front elevation and two triangular windows and a rectangle within the gable.</p> <p>The first floor proposes three, two packs and door glazing (85.9 sf). There is a single window in each of the gables (4.01 and 5.01 sf), which meets the intents of the GL.</p> <p>On the west elevation there are currently no windows. This proposal is for two single windows, a three pack, a two pack and door glazing on the first floor. Then, there are two single windows on the second floor.</p> <p>On the east elevation, there are two, two packs that are existing. The proposed elevation is for three, two packs of windows and three single windows on the first floor, and a two pack on the second floor.</p> <p>The south elevation proposes two, two packs on the first floor, and a two pack within the second floor gable.</p>	
<p>4.54 Vertical emphasis</p>	<p>Some windows are proposed as two over two, and others are proposed as a one over one. One style should be chosen. Windows are double hung and double hung style casement windows, which is consistent with historic homes. A window schedule has not been included but should be.</p> <p>The windows are proposed as casement and double hung, which is supported by GL 4.54 a. Window operations have been noted on the plans. These windows must have simulated divided lights, which are noted on the plans and materials list.</p> <p>A limited number of small square windows are allowed per GL 4.54 c and there is one window on the east</p>	



	elevation. This meets the intents of this GL.	
4.56 Window material	Aluminum clad (dark bronze) windows are noted. General support	
4.57 Fenestration pattern	It appears that there is 12" to corners, which was confirmed by the applicant at the DRC.	
4.58 Groupings of 2 or more windows	All three packs of windows must have 3.5" of dividing trim because this is a core zone. These windows cannot be mulled. This must be revised for the three pack on the west elevation.  The two packs on all elevations appear to meet the intents of this GL.	
4.58 c. Window sizes	The front elevation does not propose more than four window sizes, support.  It appears that there are no more than six sizes on the north, east, and west.	
4.59 Window and door trim	Wood trim is noted (1"x4" wood). Trim surrounds appear consistent with the GL.	
4.60 Divided lights	Confirmation that simulated divided light windows will be provided is needed.  Some windows are proposed as two over two, and others are proposed as a one over one. One style should be chosen	
4.61 Transom windows	NA	NA
4.63 Window wells	NA	NA





#### 4/21 DRC: Elevations glazing

h. **Doors:** Refer to GL 4.64-4.69.

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.64 Primary door	The primary door is proposed as ½ light, confirmation of material and color is needed.	
4.66 Secondary doors	<p>There are ½ light doors on the south (deck) confirmation of material and color is needed, which all appear to meet the intents of GL 4.66.</p> <p>There is a full light French door on the west elevation. Confirmation of material and color is needed, but this appears to meet the intents of the GL.</p>	

i. **Lighting:** Refer to GL 2.37-2.40.

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
2.37 /4.74 Exterior lighting	Lighting has been noted by entries on the exterior and are consistent with the GL and code.	

j. **Materials:** Refer to GL 4.75-4.83.






1 Driveway Perspective  
12" = 1'-0"



TELLURIDE STONE - NORTHSTAR - ROUGH CUT



STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF - DARK BRONZE



1x6 HORIZONTAL WOOD SIDING ON ADDITIONS -  
PAINTED FOREST GREEN

Siding is proposed as a horizontal 1"x6" wood siding on additions (forest green). Siding is 3"x8" horizontal wood siding (forest green) on the existing portions of the building. There will be an 18" corrugated metal foundation cover(charcoal gray). There is a chimney on the west elevation which is Telluride stone (northstar, rough cut).

Roofing is proposed as a standing seam (dark bronze) for the primary module.

Trim is noted as 1"x4" wood (green). There is a 2"x10" fascia (natural brown) and 2"x4" frieze board (natural brown). Corner boards are not noted.



The primary door is noted as a ½ light, confirmation is needed for material and color. There is another ½ light door on the south, material and color should be confirmed. Then, there is a full light French door on the west, confirmation needed for material and color.

Windows are proposed as aluminum clad with casement and double hung with simulated divided lights (dark bronze).

The front elevation covered deck and side deck on the east proposes 2"x4" top cap with 1" metal spindles and railings. There are 4"x4" center posts with 6"x6" corner posts (natural brown).

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.71 Chimneys	<p>The chimney does not exit from the ridge, as seen historically.</p> <p>The chimney is 3'3" x 3'3" at the top as it exits the lower part of the eave on the west. Then, the base of the chimney is increased in size to 6' width as seen from the west. It does not appear as chimneys were seen historically. Discussion is encouraged if it can be supported due to the location on the side elevation.</p>	
4.72 Eaves and overhangs	The proposed appears to meet the intents of this GL.	
4.75/5.44 Exterior materials	Siding materials of the primary module appear to meet the intents of this GL.	
4.76 Siding materials	NA	NA
4.75 e /4.80 a foundation treatment	The metal is applied 18" or less and meets the intents of this GL specific to the foundation cover.	
4.81 Mix primary materials	There are two materials on the primary module, which was supported. The existing is 3"x8" horizontal and new is 1"x6" horizontal.	
4.82 Roofing	The standing seam roof is supported.	
4.83 Porch railing	<p>This GL does not support the use of metal on front porches. So, the metal material must be revised to be wood on the north.</p> <p>The Board can discuss the materials for the porches as proposed on the east.</p>	

**Accessory building mass/scale/form and placement (garage):** Refer to GL 4.84-4.86, 4.89;  
The proposed south accessory building is a simple 13'x29' gabled building. The accessory has a 10:12 pitch. This must be a cold building due to the size, as proposed.



GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.84 Smaller in size	The proposed building is smaller in scale than the primary building, as requested by the GL.	
4.85/5.94 Rear of the site	The proposed building is located at the rear (south) of the lot. Support.	
4.86 Vary appearance	As seen in the 3D models, this building will vary in appearance from other buildings on this portion of the block.	
4.89 d. porches	There is a small cricket on the north to help protect the entry door.	



1 Garage Perspective  
12" = 1'-0"

**a. Accessory building windows:** Refer to GL4.53-4.63, 4.89;

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.53; 4.89 AB fenestration	There is a single window in the gable on both the east and west.	Support



	Then, there are two single windows proposed on the south and one window on the north.	
4.54 Vertical emphasis	Windows are proposed as one over one double hung windows.	
4.56 Window material	Windows are noted as aluminum clad (dark bronze) to match primary building. Support.	
4.59 Trim	Wood trim is noted. Size and color confirmation is needed. Support	

**b. Accessory building doors:** Refer to GL –4.64-4.66, 4.68-4.69 4.90;

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.66 Secondary Doors	There is a ½ light person door on the north, color and material must be confirmed.	
4.69, 4.90 Garage doors	There is a garage door proposed on the east. It must be confirmed that this will have a wood veneer.	

**c. Accessory building materials:** Refer to GL 4.82, 4.89

The building proposes 8” vertical boards with 2” batten siding (natural brown). There will be an 18” corrugated metal foundation cover with a 1”x metal cap in galvanized.

Roofing is proposed as a corrugated metal, (galvanized).

Trim must be confirmed for size and color. Fascia must be confirmed for size and color.

There is a ½ light person door on the north, color and material must be confirmed. There is a garage door proposed on the east. It must be confirmed that this will have a wood veneer.

Windows are proposed as aluminum clad with double hung (dark bronze).

GL	Staff Analysis	DRC Recommendation
4.82 Roofing	Standing seam is supported.	Support
4.89 c Simple design and details	The siding, as proposed, is supported.	7/22 DRC: Members voiced support for either the hardie or the metal siding. This has been revised to be a wood board and batten material.

**II. DRC Tasks:**

- Review and provide a recommendation for the full Board for site plan, (including snow storage, landscaping, parking, drainage) with required information provided.



- Review and provide a recommendation to the BOZAR regarding mass/scale/form of the additions.
- Review and provide a recommendation to the BOZAR regarding architectural appropriateness of the additions to the residence.
- Review and provide a recommendation to BOZAR regarding materials, as proposed.
- Review and provide a recommendation to the BOZAR regarding the mass/scale and form of the accessory building.
- Review and provide a recommendation to the full Board regarding the architectural appropriateness of the accessory building.
- Review and provide a recommendation to the BOZAR regarding the materials, as proposed for the accessory building.
- Excessive Slope will be reviewed at the Full Board meeting.



DATE	FEES PAID	APPLICANT	APPLICATION #
------	-----------	-----------	---------------



## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION

Town of Crested Butte Building Department  
PO Box 39 Crested Butte, Colorado 81224  
(970) 349-5338

\*Return this completed application to the Building Department with all necessary documents as identified in the Building Permit Application Requirements form.

PROJECT PHYSICAL ADDRESS 2 Teocalli Ave. CB, CO. 81224	LEGAL ADDRESS Lots 15+16, Block 7	ZONE R-1	USE TYPE
---	--------------------------------------	-------------	----------

APPLICANT/AGENT Andrew Hadley	MAILING ADDRESS Box 1294 CB, CO. 81224	TELEPHONE 970-349-0806	EMAIL andrew@andruhadleyarchitect.com
PROPERTY OWNER Kimber Feltan	MAILING ADDRESS Box 651 S. Egremont, CO. 81224	TELEPHONE 813-376-0045	EMAIL kimberfeltan@me.com
CONTRACTOR TBD	MAILING ADDRESS 01258	TELEPHONE	EMAIL
ARCHITECT Andrew Hadley	MAILING ADDRESS Box 1294 CB, CO. 81224	TELEPHONE 970-349-0806	EMAIL andrew@andruhadleyarchitect.com
ENGINEER Kramer & Braun	MAILING ADDRESS 779 Casadilla St. CB, CO. 81224	TELEPHONE 406-396-2295	EMAIL dylana@kandbstudio.com

BUILDING CLASSIFICATION:  
SFR ☒ DUPLEX ☐ MULTIFAMILY ☐ COMMERCIAL ☐ ACC.DWELLING ☐ ACC.BUILDING ☒ HISTORIC ☐

PROJECT TYPE:  
NEW CONSTRUCTION ☒ ADDITION ☒ REMODEL ☒ PLUMBING/MECHANICAL ☐ OTHER ☐

PROJECT DESCRIPTION Addition & remodel to existing home. New Garage Construction	ESTIMATED PROJECT VALUATION MATERIALS \$600,000 LABOR \$400,000 TOTAL \$1,000,000
--	--

### DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT <input type="checkbox"/> CONDITIONAL WAIVER <input type="checkbox"/> VARIANCE <input type="checkbox"/> PUD <input type="checkbox"/>	SETBACKS FRONT REAR SIDE( ) SIDE( ) Existing Primary Accessory Proposed Primary Accessory
--	--

EXISTING BUILDING SIZE (SQ.FT.) PRIMARY ACCESSORY TOTAL	PROPOSED BUILDING SIZE (SQ.FT.) PRIMARY ACCESSORY TOTAL
EXISTING FAR	PROPOSED FAR
BUILDING WIDTH	BUILDING HEIGHT
PARKING SPACES	% OPEN SPACE
# OF LIVING UNITS	ZONE
EXISTING EQR'S	PROPOSED EQR'S
REQUIRED SUBMITTAL DOCUMENTS Limited Power of Attorney <input type="checkbox"/> Recorded Conveyance Deed <input type="checkbox"/> Materials Lists <input type="checkbox"/> Plans (Full-Size & 11"x17") <input type="checkbox"/> Publication Fee Fee <input type="checkbox"/>	

This Building Permit shall become null and void if construction is not commenced within 60 days of the date of issuance. The Building Permit shall expire one year after the date of issuance and all construction must be completed prior to the expiration of the permit; provided, however, that the building inspector may renew the Building Permit for additional six month periods FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN and without additional cost to the applicant.

I hereby certify that all the information provided in this application is true and correct. I understand that submittal of this application does not constitute a right to perform the work or establish the use requested. I understand that the request may be denied, approved or approved with changes or conditions. Fees that are associated with the application are not refundable. I understand that the application, if approved, must be constructed in accordance with the approved plans and conform with the Town's architectural approval and applicable building codes. I understand that any approval will become null and void 180 days after the approval date if a permit is not purchased, or three years if a vested property right is purchased.

Signature of Contractor/Authorized Agent

Date

Signature of Owner/Authorized Agent

Date

## ARTICLE 10 - Special Development Permits

### Sec. 16-10-10. - Purpose.

Certain areas located within the Town are deemed to be of such ecological, environmental and/or scenic significance that all development within these areas shall conform with the general requirements of this Chapter, as well as the additional review requirements set forth in this Article.

(Prior code 15-2-18)

### Sec. 16-10-20. - Excessive slope review.

- (a) Intent. It is the intent of this Article to provide for review of all development located above the "Excessive Slope Line" designated on the Official Zoning Map of the Town in order to ensure that all development is compatible with the prevailing slopes; to provide the least disturbance to the terrain and other natural land features of the area; to guarantee availability of utilities and adequate access; to reduce the impact of development on surface runoff, natural watershed and air pollution; and to avoid losses due to such development.
- (b) Review criteria. Whenever reviewing the development plan, the Board shall consider all of the following:
  - (1) Whether there exists sufficient water pressure and other utilities to service the intended developments;
  - (2) The existence of adequate roads to ensure fire protection, snow removal and road maintenance;
  - (3) The suitability of the site for development, considering the slope, ground instability and possibility of mud flow, rock falls and avalanche dangers;
  - (4) The effects of the development on the natural watershed, runoff, drainage, soil erosion and consequent effects on water pollution;
  - (5) The design and location of any proposed structure, roads, driveways or trails and their compatibility with the terrain;
  - (6) Whether proposed grading will result in least disturbance to the terrain, vegetation and natural land features;
  - (7) The placement of structures so as to minimize roads, cutting and grading, increase open space and preserve the hill as a scenic resource; and
  - (8) The reduction of building height and bulk to maintain the open character of the hillside.
- (c)

The Board shall be as flexible as possible in allowing innovative land uses above the "Excessive Slope Line" so as not to deprive landowners a reasonable use of their land, and at the same time to preserve the environmental and aesthetic values that this area represents.

(Prior code 15-2-18)

## Division 1 - "R1" Residential District

## Sec. 16-4-10. - Intent of district.

The purpose for which this District is created is the provision of areas for low-density residential development along with customary accessory uses. Recreational and institutional uses customarily found in proximity to such residential uses are included as conditional uses. It is intended that no more than two (2) units, designed or used for dwelling by a family, shall be allowed on a site.

(Prior code 15-2-6; Ord. 3 §9, 1994)

## Sec. 16-4-20. - Permitted uses.

The following uses shall be permitted uses in the "R1" District:

- (1) One-family dwelling units.
- (2) Accessory buildings, nonresidential use, not heated or plumbed.
- (3) Home occupations.
- (4) Private garages as accessory buildings to the principal permitted uses.

(Prior code 15-2-6; Ord. 3 §3, 1994; Ord. 10, 2000; Ord. 4 §1, 2009)

## Sec. 16-4-30. - Conditional uses.

The following uses shall be permitted as conditional uses in the "R1" District:

- (1) Accessory dwellings.
- (2) Two-family dwelling units.
- (3) Public playgrounds and public recreation areas.
- (4) Churches and church schools.
- (5) Nonprofit libraries and museums.
- (6) Farm and garden buildings.
- (7) Public and private schools.
- (8) Shop crafts.
- (9) Bed and breakfast establishments, provided that the granting of such conditional use shall be subject to the requirements for short-term rentals in the "R1" District as set forth in Subsection 16-14-90(c) of this Chapter.
- (10) Parking areas.
- (11)

Accessory buildings, nonresidential use, heated.

(Prior code 15-2-6; Ord. 4 §3, 1990; Ord. 13 §6, 1991; Ord. 5 §2, 1993; Ord. 3 §3, 1994; Ord. 21 §1, 2004; Ord. 4 §1, 2009; Ord. No. 2, § 3(Exh. A), 3-6-2023)

Sec. 16-4-40. - Lot measurements.

The following shall be lot measurements for property located in the "R1" District:

- (1) Minimum lot area: five thousand (5,000) square feet.
- (2) Maximum lot area: nine thousand three hundred seventy-five (9,375) square feet.
- (3) Minimum lot width: fifty (50) feet.
- (4) Minimum front yard: twenty (20) feet.
- (5) Minimum side yard: seven and one-half (7½) feet for single-story and flat-roofed buildings and as much as eleven and one-half (11½) feet for sloped-roofed buildings, dependent upon snow storage guidelines.
- (6) Minimum rear yard:
  - a. Principal building: ten (10) feet.
  - b. Accessory building: five (5) feet.

(Prior code 15-2-6; Ord. 3 §9, 1994; Ord. 4 §1, 2009)

Sec. 16-4-50. - Floor areas.

The following shall be measurements for floor areas for property located in the "R1" District:

- (1) Minimum floor area: four hundred (400) square feet for each residential unit; provided, however, that the minimum floor area for an accessory structure built before July 1, 1942, which is being converted to a residential unit, historic accessory structure, shall be two hundred twenty (220) square feet, plus a closet, a bathroom and one hundred (100) additional square feet for each occupant in excess of two (2), only if the following conditions are met:
  - a. The residential unit must be an accessory dwelling used exclusively as a long-term rental unit;
  - b. The occupants of the dwelling must have been residents of the County for three (3) consecutive years of the preceding seven (7) years;
  - c. At least fifty-one percent (51%) of the occupants' income must be earned from work for an employer situated within the County or from work actually performed in the County; and
  - d. The above limitations for occupants and the limitation of the term of rental shall be recorded pursuant to Section 16-9-70 of this Chapter.
- (2) Maximum floor area:



- a. Accessory building, including an accessory dwelling, if any: one thousand (1,000) square feet or two-thirds ( $\frac{2}{3}$ ) of the floor area of the principal building, whichever is smaller.
- b. Accessory dwelling: one thousand (1,000) square feet of floor area or two-thirds ( $\frac{2}{3}$ ) of the floor area of the principal building, whichever is smaller.

(3) Maximum floor area ratio:

- a. Principal building: 0.3 as a matter of right, up to 0.4, depending on neighborhood context and lot size; provided that no principal building shall exceed two thousand eight hundred (2,800) square feet.
- b. All buildings: 0.5, provided that all buildings shall not be larger than three thousand eight hundred (3,800) square feet in the aggregate.

(Prior code 15-2-6; Ord. 4 §§4, 5, 1990; Ord. 4 §12, 1991; Ord. 16 §1, 1992; Ord. 11 §7, 1993; Ord. 3 §3, 1994; Ord. 4 §1, 2009)

Sec. 16-4-60. - Building measurements.

The following shall regulate measurements for buildings located in the "R1" District:

(1) Maximum building height:

- a. Principal building: thirty (30) feet.
- b. Accessory building: twenty (20) feet or the height of the principal building, whichever is less.
- c. Accessory dwelling: twenty-four (24) feet or the height of the principal building, whichever is less.

(2) Maximum building width: thirty-five (35) feet as a matter of right, up to fifty (50) feet, depending upon the location and proximity of adjacent structures, subject to minimum side yard requirements.

(Prior code 15-2-6; Ord. 4 §5, 1990; Ord. 4 §16, 1991; Ord. 3 §32, 1994; Ord. 4 §1, 2009)

Sec. 16-4-70. - Additional provisions.

- (a) Open space required: Fifty percent (50%) of the lot area shall be open, unencumbered and free of any building or structure.
- (b) Minimum exterior wall height: seven (7) feet.
- (c) Minimum vertical distance from eave line of roof to the finished grade level: six (6) feet.
- (d) Slope of roof: a minimum of 4:12. A flat roof must contain a parapet on the side facing a street, and as otherwise required by the Board.
- (e)

Stream margin review: all uses within twenty (20) feet of a designated water course shall meet the requirements of Section 16-11-10 of this Chapter.

(Prior code 15-2-6; Ord. 4 §5, 1990; Ord. 4 §16, 1991; Ord. 3 §§10, 32, 1994; Ord. 4 §1, 2009)